arXiv:1601.02601v1 [math.CO] 9 Jan 2016

A Note On Vertex Distinguishing Edge colorings of Trees

Songling Shan[a], Bing Yao[b,1],

a. Department of Mathematics and Statistics of Georgia State University, Atlanta, 30303-3083, USA.
E-mail: ld5772156649@163.com

b. College of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, 730070, P.R.China

Abstract

A proper edge coloring of a simple graph G is called a vertex distinguishing edge coloring (vdec) if for any two distinct vertices u and v of G, the set of the colors assigned to the edges incident to u differs from the set of the colors assigned to the edges incident to v. The minimum number of colors required for all vdecs of G is denoted by $\chi'_s(G)$ called the vdec chromatic number of G. Let $n_d(G)$ denote the number of vertices of degree d in G. In this note, we show that a tree T with $n_2(T) \leq n_1(T)$ holds $\chi'_s(T) = n_1(T)+1$ if its diameter $D(T) = 3$ or one of two particular trees with $D(T) = 4$, and $\chi'_s(T) = n_1(T)$ otherwise; furthermore $\chi'_{es}(T) = \chi'_s(T)$ when $|E(T)| \leq 2(n_1(T) + 1)$, where $\chi'_{es}(T)$ is the equitable vdec chromatic number of T.

AMS Subject Classification (2000): 05C15

Keywords: vertex distinguishing coloring, edge coloring, trees

1 Introduction and concepts

Labeled graphs are becoming an increasingly useful family of mathematical models for a broad range of applications, such as *time tabling and scheduling*, *frequency assignment*, *register allocation*, *computer security* and so on. In [2], Burris and Schelp introduced that a proper edge k-coloring of a simple graph G is called a *vertex distinguishing edge k-coloring* (k-vdec, or vdec for short) if for any two distinct vertices u and v of G, the set of the colors assigned to the edges incident to u differs from the set of the colors assigned to the edges incident to v. The minimum number of colors required for a vertex distinguishing coloring of G is denoted by $\chi'_s(G)$. The maximum and minimum degrees of G are denoted by $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$, respectively. Let $n_d(G)$ denote the number of vertices of degree d with respect to $\delta(G) \leq d \leq \Delta(G)$ in G, or write $n_d = n_d(G)$ if there is no confusion. Burris and Schelp [2] presented the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1. *Let G be a simple graph having no isolated edges and at most one isolated vertex, and let k be the smallest integer such that $\binom{k}{d} \geq n_d$ for all d with respect to $\delta(G) \leq d \leq \Delta(G)$. Then $k \leq \chi'_s(G) \leq k + 1$.*

It seems very difficult to settle down Conjecture 1, since no more results to verify it (cf. [1], [2], [3]). We show some results on trees to confirm positively Conjecture 1, and try to approximate $\chi'_s(G)$ of a graph G by $\chi'_s(T)$ of some tree T generated from G. Graphs mentioned here are finite,

[1]Corresponding author, Email: yybb918@163.com

simple and undirected. We use standard terminology and notation of graph theory, and write $[m,n]=\{m,m+1,\ldots,n\}$ for integers m,n with respect to $0\le m<n$. Let π be a *k-vdec* of a graph G, and $S_i=\{uv:\pi(uv)=i, uv\in E(G)\}$ for $i\in[1,k]$. We call π an *equitable k-vdec* if S_i and S_j differ in size at most one for distinct $i,j\in[1,k]$. The smallest value of k such that G has an equitable *k-vdec* is denoted by $\chi'_{es}(G)$. Clearly, $\chi'_s(G)\le\chi'_{es}(G)$. The set of neighbors of a vertex u of G is denoted as $N_G(u)$, or $N(u)$ if no confusion. The diameter of G is written as $D(G)$. A *leaf* is a vertex of degree one, and a *k-degree vertex* is one of degree $k\ge 2$. P_n is a path of length $n-1$.

A tree Q of diameter four has its own vertex set $V(Q)=W_r\cup X_m\cup\big(\bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i\big)$, where $W_r=\{w_0,w_i:i\in[1,r]\}$ if $r\ge 1$, $X_m=\{s_i,s'_i:i\in[1,m]\}$ if $m\ge 1$, $Y_i=\{t_i,t'_{i,j}:j\in[1,r_i]\}$ for $r_i\ge 2$ and $i\in[1,n]$ if $n\ge 1$; and Q has its own edge set $E(Q)=E(W_r)\cup E(X_m)\cup\big(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E(Y_i)\big)$, where $E(W_r)=\{w_0w_i:i\in[1,r]\}$, $E(X_m)=\{w_0s_i,s_is'_i:i\in[1,m]\}$, $E(Y_i)=\{w_0t_i,t_it'_{i,j}:j\in[1,r_i]\}$ for $r_i\ge 2$ and $i\in[1,n]$. Thereby, we can write this tree Q having diameter $D(Q)=4$ as $Q=Q(r,m,n)$ with the center w_0 hereafter. Especially, $Q(0,2,0)=P_5$ such that $\chi'_s(Q(0,2,0))=n_1(Q(0,2,0))+2$. let $U=\{Q(0,2,0),\ Q(r,2,0),\ Q(0,1,1)\}$. We will show the following results in this note.

Theorem 1. *Let T be a tree with $n_2(T)\le n_1(T)$ and at least three vertices. Then $\chi'_s(T)=n_1(T)+1$ if $D(T)=3$ and $T\in U\setminus\{Q(0,2,0)\}$; $\chi'_s(T)=n_1(T)+2$ if $T=Q(0,2,0)$; and $\chi'_s(T)=n_1(T)$ if $D(T)\ge 4$ and $T\notin U$.*

Theorem 2. *Let T be a tree with $n_2(T)\le n_1(T)$ and at least three vertices. If $|E(T)|\le 2[n_1(T)+1]$, then $\chi'_{es}(T)=\chi'_s(T)$.*

2 Lemmas and proofs

Lemma 3. *Let T be a tree with $n_2(T)\ge n_1(T)$. Then there exists a 2-degree vertex such that one of its neighbors is either a leaf or a vertex of degree 2.*

Proof. Write $n_d=n_d(T)$ for $d\in[\delta(T),\Delta(T)]$, here $n_d=n_d(T)=0$ if T has no vertices of degree d. Let $n_{\ge k}=|V(T)|-n_1-n_2-\cdots-n_{k-1}$ for $k\ge 2$. By contradiction. Assume that each 2-degree vertex x has its neighborhood $N(x)=\{x_1,x_2\}$ such that degree $\deg_T(x_i)\ge 3$ for $i=1,2$. So, we have $n_2\le n_{\ge 3}$ by the assumption. Applying the formula $n_1=2+\sum_{3\le d\le\Delta(T)}(d-2)n_d$ shown in the article [4], we have $n_1\ge 2+n_d$ for $d\ge 3$, and $n_1\ge 2+n_3+2n_{\ge 4}=2+2n_{\ge 3}-n_3$, which shows that $n_{\ge 3}\le\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_3)-1$. Thereby, the following inequalities

$$n_2\le n_{\ge 3}\le\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_3)-1\le n_1-2$$

show a contradiction. □

Lemma 4. *Let T be a tree with diameter three. Then $\chi'_s(T)=n_1(T)+1$, and $\chi'_{es}(T)=\chi'_s(T)$.*

Proof. Let two complete graphs $K_{1,m}$ and $K_{1,n}$ have their own vertex and edge sets as follows: $V(K_{1,m}) = \{s, s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m\}$ and $E(K_{1,m}) = \{ss_1, ss_2, \ldots, ss_m\}$, $V(K_{1,n}) = \{t, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n\}$ and $E(K_{1,n}) = \{tt_1, tt_2, \ldots, tt_n\}$, where s, t are the centers of $K_{1,m}$ and $K_{1,n}$. Each tree of diameter 3, denoted as $S_{m+1,n+1}$, can be obtained by joining two centers of $K_{1,m}$ and $K_{1,n}$ with an edge. So, $S_{m+1,n+1}$ has its own vertex set $V(S_{m+1,n+1}) = V(K_{1,m}) \cup V(K_{1,n})$ and edge set $E(S_{m+1,n+1}) = E(K_{1,m}) \cup E(K_{1,n}) \cup \{st\}$. Suppose that f is a k-vdec of $S_{m+1,n+1}$ such that $k = \chi'_s(S_{m+1,n+1})$. Let $C(u, f)$ be the set of the colors assigned to the edges incident to u of $S_{m+1,n+1}$. Since $C(s_i, f) \neq C(s_j, f)$, $C(s_i, f) \neq C(t_l, f)$, $C(t_i, f) \neq C(t_j, f)$, and $C(s, f) \neq C(t, f)$, so we know $k \geq m+n+1 = n_1(S_{m+1,n+1}) + 1$. This k-vdec f can be exactly defined as: $f(ss_i) = i$ for $i \in [1, m]$; $f(st) = m+1$; $f(tt_j) = m+1+j$ for $j \in [1, n]$. Thereby, $k = m+n+1$. By the definition of the k-vdec f, we are not hard to see $\chi'_{es}(T) = \chi'_s(T)$. □

Lemma 5. *Let $U = \{Q(0,2,0),\ Q(r,2,0),\ Q(0,1,1)\}$. For all trees $Q = Q(r,m,n)$ of diameter four we have $\chi'_s(Q) = n_1(Q) + 1$ if $Q \in U \setminus \{Q(0,2,0)\}$; $\chi'_s(Q) = n_1(Q) + 2$ if $Q = Q(0,2,0)$; and $\chi'_s(Q) = n_1(Q)$ for $Q \notin U$. Furthermore, $\chi'_{es}(Q) = \chi'_s(Q)$.*

Proof. Using the description of a tree $Q = Q(r,m,n)$ of diameter four in Section 1. Let $n_1 = n_1(Q)$, and f be a k-vdec of Q such that $k = \chi'_s(Q)$. Note that $D(Q) = 4$.

Case A. $(r,m,n) = (r,m,0)$ with $m \geq 2$ and $r \geq 0$. Clearly, $n_1 = r + m$.

Case A1. $(r,m,0) = (r,2,0)$, $n_1 = r + 2$. We can easily see $\chi'_s(Q) = n_1 + 1$ for $r \geq 0$, since we have to color one of two edges w_0s_1 and w_0s_2 with one color that is not in $\{f(w_0w_s), f(s_is'_i) : s \in [1,r], i \in [1,2]\}$. As $r = 0$, Q is a path with 4 vertices, so $\chi'_s(Q) = n_1 + 2 = 4$.

Case A2. $(r,m,0)$ with $m \geq 3$, $n_1 = r + m$. We show f in the following: $f(s_is'_i) = i$ for $i \in [1,m]$; $f(w_0s_i) = i+1$ for $i \in [1, m-1]$, and $f(w_0s_m) = 1$; $f(w_0w_j) = m + j$ for $j \in [1,r]$ if $r \neq 0$. Clearly, $C(u,f) \neq C(v,f)$ for any two vertices $u, v \in V(Q)$, which means $\chi'_s(Q) = n_1$.

Case B. $(r,0,n)$ with $n \geq 2$, $n_1 = r + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i$. It is easy to show $\chi'_s(Q) = n_1$ in this case, since $n_2 \leq 1$.

Case C. $(0,m,n)$ with $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$, $n_1 = m + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i$.

Case C1. $(0,m,n) = (0,1,1)$, $n_1 = 1 + r_1$. Since $f(s_1s'_1) = f(w_0t_1)$ if $\chi'_s(Q) = n_1$ in this case, we can see $C(w_0, f) = C(s_1, f)$; a contradiction. So $\chi'_s(Q(0,1,1)) = 2 + r_1 = n_1 + 1$.

Case C1. $(0,m,n) = (0,1,n)$ with $n \geq 2$, $n_1 = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i$. To show $\chi'_s(Q) = n_1$, we have f defined as: $f(s_1s'_1) = 1$; $f(t_1t'_{1,j}) = 1 + j$ for $j \in [1, r_1]$; $f(t_it'_{i,j}) = f(t_{i-1}t'_{i-1,j}) + j$ for $j \in [1, r_i]$ and $i \in [2,n]$; $f(w_0s_1) = f(t_nt'_{n,1})$; $f(w_0t_j) = f(t_{j+1}t'_{j+1,1})$ for $j \in [1, n-1]$; $f(w_0t_n) = f(s_1s'_1)$.

Case C2. $(0,m,n) = (0,m,1)$ with $m \geq 2$, $n_1 = m + r_1$. For showing $\chi'_s(Q) = n_1$ we define: $f(s_is'_i) = i$ for $i \in [1,m]$; $f(w_0s_i) = i+1$ for $i \in [1, m-1]$, and $f(w_0s_m) = f(t_1t'_{1,1})$; $f(t_1t'_{1,j}) = m + j$ for $j \in [1, r_1]$ and $f(w_0t_1) = m$.

Case C3. $(0,m,n) = (0,m,n)$ with $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, $n_1 = m + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i$. We have $\chi'_s(Q) = n_1$ by defining f appropriately by the methods showing in Case C1 and Case C2.

Case D. (r, m, n) with $r \geq 1$, $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$, $n_1 = r + m + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i$. By the techniques use in the above cases, we can define f to show $\chi'_s(Q) = n_1$.

Through the above Cases A, B, C and D, we conclude $\chi'_{es}(Q) = \chi'_s(Q)$, since one color is used at most twice under the vdec f. The lemma is covered. □

The proof of Theorem 1. Let $n_i = n_i(T)$ for $i = 1, 2$, and neighborhoods $N(w) = N_T(w)$ for $w \in V(T)$. Because $T = K_{1,n-1}$ when $D(T) = 2$, so $\chi'_s(T) = n_1 = n - 1$. For $D(T) = 3, 4$, by Lemmas 4 and 5, the theorem holds true. So, we show $\chi'_s(T) = n_1$ by induction on vertex numbers of trees T with diameter $D(T) \geq 5$. We will use the description of trees having diameter four in the following discussion.

Case 1. There exists a leaf v having a neighbor u with degree $\deg_T(u) \geq 4$. Let $T' = T - v$, so $n_1(T') = n_1 - 1$. Clearly, $D(T') \geq 5$.

Case 1.1. $n_2(T') \leq n_1(T')$. Then by induction hypothesis, there is an edge coloring $\xi_{T'} : E(T') \to \{1, 2, \ldots, b'\}$ such that $\chi'_s(T') = b' = n_1(T') = n_1 - 1$. It is straightforward to define a vdec ξ_T: $E(T) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, b', b' + 1\}$ such that $\xi_T(e) = \xi_{T'}(e)$ for $e \in E(T) \setminus \{uv\}$, and $\xi_T(uv) = b' + 1$.

Case 1.2. $n_1(T') = n_2(T') - 1$. By Lemma 3, there exists a 2-degree vertex $x \in V(T')$ with $N_{T'}(x) = \{x_1, x_2\}$ such that $\deg_T(x_1) = \deg_{T'}(x_1) \leq 2$. Notice that $\deg_{T'}(x) = \deg_T(x)$ and $\deg_{T'}(x_1) = \deg_T(x_1)$. Let T_1 be the tree obtained from T' by suppressing the vertex x, that is, $T_1 = T' - x + x_1x_2$. Clearly, $D(T_1) \geq 4$, $n_1(T_1) = n_1(T')$ and $n_2(T_1) = n_2(T') - 1$.

If $D(T_1) = 4$, we have $T_1 = Q(0, m, 0)$ with $m \geq 3$, since $n_1(T_1) = n_2(T_1)$ and $\deg_T(u) \geq 4$. Thus, u is the center of $T_1 = Q(0, m, 0)$, the leaf v is the leaf w_1 of $Q(1, m, 0)$, and T is the tree obtained by subdivision of the edge $s_1s'_1$. It is not difficult to see $\chi'_s(Q(1, m, 0)) = n_1(Q(1, m, 0))$, so $\chi'_s(T) = n_1$.

If $D(T_1) \geq 5$, again by induction hypothesis, there is a vdec $\xi_{T_1} : E(T_1) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, b_1\}$ such that $\chi'_s(T_1) = b_1 = n_1(T_1) = n_1 - 1$. Hence, T has a proper edge coloring ξ_T defined as: $\xi_T(e) = \xi_{T_1}(e)$ for $e \in E(T) \setminus \{uv, xx_1, xx_2\}$, and $\xi_T(uv) = b_1 + 1$, $\xi_T(xx_1) = b_1 + 1$ and $\xi_T(xx_2) = \xi_{T_1}(x_1x_2)$. It is easy to check ξ_T to be a desired n_1-vdec of T, since $C(\xi_T, u) \neq C(\xi_T, x_1)$.

Case 2. There is a leaf v having a 3-degree neighbor u, and Case 1 is false.

Case 2.1. v' is another leaf in the neighborhood $N(u) = \{v, v', u'\}$, and T has a 2-degree vertex x having its neighborhood $\{x_1, x_2\}$ such that x_1 is a leaf of T.

We have a tree $T_1 = T - \{v, v', x\} + x_1x_2$. Clearly, $D(T_1) \geq 3$ since $D(T) \geq 5$, $n_1(T_1) = n_1 - 1$ and $n_2(T_1) = n_2 - 1$.

If $D(T_1) = 3$, T_1 is a 3-diameter tree $S_{m+1,n+1}$ (we use the description shown in the proof of Lemma 4) obtained by joining two centers of $K_{1,m}$ and $K_{1,n}$ with an edge. Since $D(T) \geq 5$, so T can be obtained by subdividing the edge ss_1 $(= x_1x_2)$ to form a path $sxs_1 = x_1xx_2$ of T and joining t_1 $(= u)$ to two new vertices v and v' to $S_{m+1,n+1}$. It is not hard to make a desired n_1-vdec of T based on the structure of T.

If $D(T_1) = 4$, then $T_1 = Q(r, m, n)$. If $T_1 = Q(0, 2, 0)$, thus it goes to T such that $n_1 = 3$ and $n_2 = 4$; a contradiction. As $T_1 = Q(r, m, n) \neq Q(0, 2, 0)$, we can show $\chi'_s(T) = n_1$ by the edge colorings used in the proof of Lemma 5.

For $D(T_1) \geq 5$, T_1, by induction hypothesis, has a vdec $\xi_{T_1} : E(T_1) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, b_1\}$ such that $\chi'_s(T_1) = b_1 = n_1(T_1) = n_1 - 1$. Notice that u is a leaf of T_1. So, we can extend ξ_{T_1} to a vdec ξ_T of T as follows: $\xi_T(e) = \xi_{T_1}(e)$ for $e \in E(T) \setminus \{uv, uv', xx_1, xx_2\}$; if $\xi_{T_1}(x_1x_2) \notin C(\xi_{T_1}, u')$, we set $\xi_T(uu') = b_1 + 1$, $\xi_T(uv') = \xi_{T_1}(uu')$, $\xi_T(uv) = \xi_{T_1}(x_1x_2)$, $\xi_T(xx_2) = \xi_{T_1}(x_1x_2)$ and $\xi_T(xx_1) = b_1 + 1$; if $\xi_{T_1}(x_1x_2) \in C(\xi_{T_1}, u')$, we define $\xi_T(uu') = \xi_{T_1}(uu')$, $\xi_T(uv) = \xi_{T_1}(x_1x_2)$, $\xi_T(uv') = b_1 + 1$, $\xi_T(xx_2) = b_1 + 1$ and $\xi_T(xx_1) = \xi_{T_1}(uu')$.

Case 2.2. $\deg_T(v') = 1$ for $v' \in N(u) = \{v, v', u'\}$, and T has no a 2-degree vertex that is adjacent to a leaf of T. Let $P = p_1p_2 \cdots p_{D-1}p_D$ be a longest path of T, where D is the diameter of T. Here, $\deg_T(p_2) = 3 = \deg_T(p_{D-1})$.

Without loss of generality, $p_2 \neq u$, so p_2 is adjacent to two leaves $p_1, p'_1 \in N(p_2) = \{p_1, p'_1, p_3\}$ with $\deg_T(p_3) \neq 1$. Suppose that x, y are two 2-degree vertices of T. Let $N(x) = \{x_1, x_2\}$ and $N(y) = \{y_1, y_2\}$, then we know that $\deg_T(x_i) \geq 2$ and $\deg_T(y_i) \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2$ by the hypothesis of Case 2.2. Make a tree $T_2 = T - \{v, v', p_1, p'_1, x, y\} + \{x_1x_2, y_1y_2\}$ with $n_1(T_2) = n_1 - 2$ and $n_2(T_2) = n_2 - 2$.

If $D(T_2) = 2$, so $T_2 = K_{1,m}$ with $V(K_{1,m}) = \{s, s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m\}$ and $E(K_{1,m}) = \{ss_1, ss_2, \ldots, ss_m\}$ for $m \geq 2$. Without loss of generality, $u = s_1$, $p_2 = s_2$, $ss_1 = x_1x_2$ and $ss_j = y_1y_2$ for $j \neq 1$ since $D(T) \geq 5$. So, $D(T) = 5$ or 6, $n_1 \geq 4$ and $n_2 = 2$. The structure of T enables us to show $\chi'_s(T) = n_1$ by defining a desired n_1-vdec of T.

Consider the case $D(T_2) = 3$. As $T_2 = P_4$, T is obtained by replacing by a path $w_0xx_1yt_1$ the edge w_0t_1 of $Q(0, 0, 2)$ with $r_1 = r_2 = 2$ ($t_2 = u$, $t_1 = p_2$), so $n_1 = n_2 = 4$. It is easy to define a desired 4-vdec of T by means of the techniques used in the proof of Lemma 5. When $T_2 = S_{m+1,n+1}$ with $m + n \geq 3$, the structure of T is clear such that we can show easily $\chi'_s(T) = n_1$.

For $D(T_2) = 4$, thus $T_2 = Q(r, 0, n)$ with $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and $n \geq 2$ according to T has no a 2-degree vertex that is adjacent to a leaf of T. We have two subcases $T_2 = Q(1, 0, 2)$ and $T_2 = Q(0, 0, n)$ with $n \geq 3$. So, $x_1x_2 = w_0t_1$ and $y_1y_2 = w_0t_2$, and u and p_2 both are the leaves of $T_2 = Q(1, 0, 2)$ or $T_2 = Q(0, 0, n)$ with $n \geq 3$. We claim $\chi'_s(T) = n_1$ by the structure of T in this situation.

When $D(T_2) \geq 5$, by induction hypothesis, we take a vdec $\xi_{T_2} : E(T_2) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, b_2\}$ having $\chi'_s(T_2) = b_2 = n_1(T_2) = n_1 - 2$, and extend ξ_{T_2} to a proper edge coloring ξ_T of T by setting $\xi_T(e) = \xi_{T_2}(e)$ for $e \in E(T) \setminus \{uv, uv', uu', xx_1, xx_2, p_2p_1, p_2p'_1, p_2p_3, yy_1, yy_2\}$, and $\xi_T(uu') = b_2+2$, $\xi_T(uv') = \xi_{T_2}(uu')$, $\xi_T(uv) = b_2+1$, $\xi_T(xx_2) = \xi_{T_2}(x_1x_2)$, and $\xi_T(xx_1) = b_2+1$; $\xi_T(p_2p_3) = b_2+1$, $\xi_T(p_2p'_1) = \xi_{T_2}(p_2p_3)$, $\xi_T(p_2p_1) = b_2 + 2$, $\xi_T(yy_2) = \xi_{T_2}(y_1y_2)$, and $\xi_T(yy_1) = b_2 + 2$. Since $C(\xi_{T_2}, p_3) \setminus \{\xi_{T_2}(p_2p_3)\}$ is not equal to one of $C(\xi_{T_2}, x_i) \setminus \{\xi_{T_2}(x_1x_2)\}$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $C(\xi_{T_2}, u) \setminus \{\xi_{T_2}(uu')\}$ is not equal to one of $C(\xi_{T_2}, y_i) \setminus \{\xi_{T_2}(y_1y_2)\}$ for $i = 1, 2$, so $C(\xi_T, p_3) \neq C(\xi_T, x_1)$ and $C(\xi_T, u') \neq C(\xi_T, y_1)$, without loss of generality. Hence, it is not hard to verify that ξ_T is a vdec of T such that $\chi'_s(T) = b_2 + 2 = n_1$.

Case 2.3. The above Case 2.1 and Case 2.2 are false, simultaneously. So, any leaf of T is either adjacent to a 2-degree vertex or a 3-degree vertex having two neighbors of non-leaves. Thereby, $\deg_T(v) = 1$, $\deg_T(v') \geq 2$ and $\deg_T(u') \geq 2$ in $N(u) = \{v, v', u'\}$. Let $P = x_1x_2 \cdots x_{D-1}x_D$ be a longest path of T with $\deg_T(x_2) = 2$ or $\deg_T(x_{D-1}) = 2$, where $D = D(T)$. Without loss of generality, x_2 has its two neighbors x_1 and x_3 holding $\deg_T(x_1) = 1$ and $\deg_T(x_3) \geq 2$.

We have a tree $T_3 = T - \{v, u, x_2\} + \{x_1x_3, v'u'\}$. Clearly, $D(T_3) \geq 3$ since $D(T) \geq 5$, $n_1(T_3) = n_1 - 1$ and $n_2(T_3) = n_2 - 1$. If $D(T_3) = 3$, the possible case is $T_3 = P_4 = x_1x_3x_4x_5 = x_1u'v'x_5$, which implies $T = x_1x_2u'uv'x_5 + uv$. Thereby, we have $\chi'_s(T) = n_1$. If $D(T_3) = 4$, then $T_3 = Q(1, 2, 0)$ or $T_3 = Q(0, m, 0)$ with $m \geq 3$ ($T_3 = Q(0, 2, 0)$ will induce T has $n_1 = 3$ and $n_2 = 4$; a contradiction). Therefore, $s_1 = u'$, $w_0 = v'$, and x_1 is a leaf of $T_3 = Q(1, 2, 0)$ or $T_3 = Q(0, m, 0)$ with $m \geq 3$. The structure of T is clear when $D(T_3) = 4$, so we can show a n_1-vdec of T by the methods used in the proof of Lemma 5.

For $D(T_3) \geq 5$, by induction hypothesis, T_3 admits a vdec $\xi_{T_3} : E(T_3) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, b_3\}$ such that $\chi'_s(T_3) = b_3 = n_1(T_3) = n_1 - 1$. It is straightforward to define a n_1-vdec ξ_T of T as follows: $\xi_T(e) = \xi_{T_3}(e)$ for $e \in E(T) \setminus \{uv, uv', uu', x_2x_1, x_2x_3\}$, and $\xi_T(uu') = b_3 + 1$ if $\xi_{T_3}(x_1x_3) \notin C(\xi_{T_3}, u')$, $\xi_T(uv') = \xi_{T_3}(u'v')$, $\xi_T(uv) = \xi_{T_3}(x_1x_3)$, $\xi_T(x_2x_3) = \xi_{T_3}(x_1x_3)$, and $\xi_T(x_2x_1) = b_3 + 1$.

Case 3. The above Case 1 and Case 2 do not appear simultaneously, and every leaf is adjacent to a 2-degree vertex in T, which means $n_2 = n_1$.

Case 3.1. Each 2-degree vertex x has its neighborhood $N(x) = \{x_1, x_2\}$ such that $\deg_T(x_1) = 1$ and $\deg_T(x_2) \geq 3$, and no two 2-degree vertices have a common neighbor. Let $R = p_1p_2 \cdots p_l$ be a longest path in T, where $l = D(T)$. Thereby, $\deg_T(p_3) \geq 3$ and $\deg_T(p_{l-2}) \geq 3$ since $n_2 = n_1$. Notice that $\deg_T(x) \leq 2$ for $x \in N(p_3) \setminus \{p_2, p_4\}$ (resp. $x \in N(p_{l-2}) \setminus \{p_{l-3}, p_{l-1}\}$) because $x \notin V(R)$, and furthermore this vertex x is neither a leaf (Case 2 has been assumed to disappear) nor a 2-degree vertex (by the hypothesis of this subcase and $n_2 = n_1$). We claim that this subcase does not exist.

Case 3.2. Case 3.1 does not exist at all. We have a subgraph H with $V(H) = \{w, u\} \cup V'$ with $m \geq 2$ and $V' = \{y_i, x_i : i \in [1, m]\}$ and $E(H) = \{wu, uy_i, y_ix_i : i \in [1, m]\}$, where $\deg_T(w) \geq 2$, every y_i is a 2-degree vertex and every x_i is a leaf for $i \in [1, m]$. Then we have a tree $T_1 = T - V'$ such that $D(T_1) \geq 3$ because $D(T) \geq 5$.

If $D(T_1) = 3$, the possible structure is $T_1 = P_4$, but, which implies $n_2 = n_1 + 1$; a contradiction.

For $D(T_1) = 4$, thus $T_1 = Q(1, m, 0)$ with $m \geq 2$ under the restriction of Case 3.2, and $s'_1 = u$. The clear structure of T enables us to show $\chi'_s(T) = n_1$.

For $D(T_1) \geq 5$, $n_1(T_1) = n_1 - m + 1 > n_2(T_1) = n_2 - m$ from $n_1 = n_2$, and u is a leaf of T_1. By induction hypothesis, T_1 admits a vdec $\xi_{T_1} : E(T_1) \to S = \{1, 2, \ldots, b_1\}$ having $\chi'_s(T_1) = b_1 = n_1(T_1) = n_1 - (m - 1)$. We can define a n_1-vdec ξ_T of T as follows: $\xi_T(e) = \xi_{T_1}(e)$ for $e \in E(T) \setminus E(H)$, and $\xi_T(wu) = \xi_{T_1}(wu)$; $\xi_T(uy_i) = b_1 + i$ for $i \in [1, m - 1]$, and $\xi_T(uy_m) \in S \setminus \{\xi_{T_1}(wu)\}$; $\xi_T(y_1x_1) = \xi_{T_1}(wu)$, $\xi_T(y_jx_j) = b_1 + j - 1$ for $j \in [2, m]$.

Thereby, Theorem 1 follows from the principle of induction. □

Proof of Theorem 2. It is not hard to let the number of a color be one or two in the desired vdecs ξ_T of T in all cases of the proof of Theorem 1, since $|E(T)| \leq 2(n_1+1)$. Thereby, Theorem 2 follows from the principle of induction. □

Corollary 6. *Let G be a connected graph having cycles, p vertices and q edges. If $n_2(G) \leq 2(q-p+1)+n_1(G)$, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 2(q-p+1)+n_1(G)$.*

Proof. Let H be a spanning tree of G, so $E' = E(G) \setminus E(H)$. Hence, we have another tree T obtained by deleting every edge $uv \in E'$, and then adding two new vertices u', v' by joining u' with u and v' with v simultaneously. Clearly, $n_1(T) = 2(q-p+1)+n_1(G)$, and $n_2(T) = n_2(G)$ and $\Delta(T) = \Delta(G)$. On the other hand, each k-vdec π of G with $k = \chi'_s(G)$ corresponds to an edge coloring π' of T such that for any two distinct non-leaf vertices u and v of T, the set of the colors assigned to the edges incident to u differs from the set of the colors assigned to the edges incident to v. Also, π' is a proper edge coloring of T by setting $\pi'(uu') = \pi'(vv') = \pi(uv)$ for $uu', vv' \in E(T)$ and $uv \in E'$; and $\pi'(e) = \pi(e)$ for $e \in E(H) \subset E(T)$. Thereby, $k \leq \chi'_s(T)$ since $k = \chi'_s(G)$. This corollary follows by Theorem 1. □

Corollary 7. *Let T be a spanning tree of a connected graph G, and $G[E']$ be an induced graph over the edge subset $E' = E(G) \setminus E(T)$. Then $\chi'_s(G) \leq \chi'_s(T) + \chi'(G[E'])$, where $\chi'(H)$ is the chromatic index of a graph H.*

As further work we present the following

Conjecture 2. *Let T be a tree with $2n_2(T) \leq (n_1(T)+k-1)^2$ for $k \geq 1$. Then $\chi'_s(T) \leq n_1(T)+k$.*

Acknowledgment. The authors thank sincerely two referees' sharp opinions and helpful suggestions that improve greatly the article. B. Yao was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 61163054 and No. 61363060; X.-en Chen was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 61163037.

References

[1] P.N. Balister, B. Bollobás and R.H. Schelp. Vertex distinguishing coloring of graphs with $\Delta(G)$=2. *Discrete Math.* **252** (2002), 17-29.

[2] A.C. Burris and R.H. Schelp. Vertex-Distingushing proper edge-colorings. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 26 (2) (1997), 73-82.

[3] J. Černý, M. Horňák and R. Soták, Observability of a graph, Math. Slovaca 46 (1996), 21-31.

[4] Bing Yao, Zhong-fu Zhang and Jian-fang Wang. Some results on spanning trees. *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica*, English Series **26** (4) (2010) 607-616.